

## Ethics and Malpractice Statement

The *Proceedings of the International Conference on Onomastics "Name and Naming"* (ICONN) is a peer-reviewed publication which advocates the observation of standards of ethical behaviour generally expected of such scientific works. All the parties involved in the process (the publisher, the editor of the proceedings, the peer reviewers and the authors) must make sure to be in agreement with the principles below.

1. The **publisher** ensures the best practice in the publication of the proceedings and provides the editor with the technical, procedural and legal support for the optimal outcome.

2. The **editor** of the proceedings is responsible for

- providing authors with updated publication guidelines and ensuring they are observed in the papers accepted for publication;
- coordinating the peer-review process based exclusively on academic criteria (quality, originality, accuracy) and ensuring its proper development, unhindered by any conflict of interests;
- deciding which of the papers submitted for publication in the proceedings should actually be published. The editor shall take into consideration the results of the double blind peer-review process upon making such decisions;
- protecting the confidentiality of all materials submitted for publication and of all communications with reviewers, as well as the anonymity of the referees and of the authors;
- safeguarding the ethical and scientific standards of the publication by publishing apologies when necessary and corrections/retractions when errors are found.

3. The **peer-review process** is fair and unbiased. It is conducted by two reviewers who are chosen by the editor and should not have any conflict of interests as regards the paper, the authors and/or funding institutions. The referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments, focusing on several aspects (terminology usage, work originality, language accuracy and bibliography relevance), making potential suggestions and providing an overall evaluation of the paper reviewed. If necessary, the editor shall seek additional opinions.

Reviewers must preserve the confidentiality of the papers refereed and they are not allowed to contact the authors directly without permission from the editor.

4. **Authors** should ensure that they have written entirely original works. Upon employing works by others, authors must make sure that they have been appropriately referenced/quoted and permission has been obtained where necessary, even in the case of information obtained in private conversation, correspondence or discussion with third parties. Authors must provide proper acknowledgment to any institution that funded their research.

Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical behaviour and is unacceptable. Other actions denoting unethical behaviour include making fraudulent or inaccurate statements, submitting the same manuscript to more than one publication simultaneously, submitting for consideration a paper that has already been published or has been approved for publication elsewhere.

When authors discover a significant error or inaccuracy in their own published work, it is their obligation to notify the editor. Authors shall cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper if deemed necessary by the editor.