

## Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement

The *Proceedings of the International Conference on Onomastics “Name and Naming” (ICONN)* is a peer-reviewed publication which advocates the observation of standards of ethical behaviour generally expected of such scientific works. All the parties involved in the process (the publisher, the editors of the proceedings, the peer reviewers, and the authors) must make sure to be in agreement with the principles below.

1. The publisher ensures the best practice in the publication of the proceedings and provides the editor with the technical, procedural, and legal support for the optimal outcome.

2. The editors of the proceedings are responsible for:

- maintaining the highest scientific quality and ethical standards of all the volumes;
- providing authors with updated publication guidelines and ensuring they are observed in the papers accepted for publication;
- coordinating the peer-review process based exclusively on academic criteria (quality, originality, accuracy) and ensuring its proper development, unhindered by any conflict of interests. The selection of peer reviewers by the editors is not based on their gender, sexual orientation, religion, nationality, race, affiliation or political views, but solely on their scientific and linguistic expertise;
- deciding which of the papers submitted for publication in the proceedings should actually be published. The editors shall take into consideration the results of the double blind peer-review process upon making such decisions. At the same time, the editors are authorized to make minor editorial changes to manuscripts to correct errors in grammar and/or violations in the stylistic and formatting requirements of the proceedings;
- protecting the confidentiality of all materials submitted for publication and of all communications with reviewers, as well as the anonymity of the reviewers and authors;
- safeguarding the ethical and scientific standards of the publication by publishing apologies when necessary and corrections/retractions when errors are found;
- ensuring that the peer-review process, the research material published in the proceedings, and post-publication handling of that material are in agreement with the internationally accepted ethical guidelines recommended by COPE (<https://publicationethics.org/core-practices>).

3. The peer-review process is fair and unbiased. It is conducted by two reviewers who are chosen by the editors and do not have conflicts of interests as regards the paper, the authors, and/or funding institutions. The reviewers should express their views clearly with supporting arguments, focusing on several aspects (terminology usage, work originality, language accuracy, and bibliography relevance), making suggestions whenever the case and providing an overall evaluation of the paper. If necessary, the editors shall seek additional opinions.

The reviewers' critical statements must be focused on the reviewed contribution and not on the author.

Reviewers must preserve the confidentiality of the papers refereed, and they are not allowed to contact the authors directly without permission from the editors. When a reviewer determines that a paper may be in danger of plagiarism/copyright infringement in relation to another piece of research, (s)he is required to report the incident to the editors and supply citations for the suspected source material. Reviewers are required to report potential conflicts of interest. A conflict of interest occurs when personal, professional, financial or any other relationship between an author, a co-author, or a reviewer may in any way inappropriately influence or bias their work, actions, judgements, or findings (see section 5 below).

The review process may take up to 2 months. After receiving the reviewers' opinions, the editors will inform the authors about the decision made in relation to their submission, which may be accepted for publication without any changes, accepted for publication upon revision, or rejected altogether.

The editors will send the authors the comments and suggestions made by the reviewers for the revisions of manuscripts accepted for publication. In general, the authors must return the revised paper within 3 weeks, but an extension of this deadline may be granted if more thorough revision is necessary. In both cases, the revised paper will subsequently be re-evaluated by the editors and reviewers. If it is accepted, the manuscript is subjected to final editing procedures and resent to the authors in .pdf format, who must give their consent before the actual publication of the paper.

If a manuscript is rejected during any stage of the reviewing process, the editors will inform the authors about the reasons underlying this decision. Authors are free to submit rejected manuscripts for publication elsewhere.

**4. Authors** should ensure that they have written entirely original works. Any and all forms of plagiarism, including self-plagiarism, are prohibited. Upon employing works by others, authors must make sure that they have been appropriately referenced/quoted and permission has been obtained where necessary, even in the case of information obtained in private conversation, correspondence, or discussion with third parties. Authors must provide proper acknowledgment to any institution that funded their research.

Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical behaviour and is unacceptable. Other actions denoting unethical behaviour include making fraudulent or inaccurate statements, submitting the same manuscript to more than one publication simultaneously, submitting for consideration a paper that has already been published or has been approved for publication elsewhere. This prohibition extends to works that were previously or are simultaneously published in a different language.

When authors discover a significant error or inaccuracy in their own published work, it is their obligation to notify the editors. Authors shall cooperate with the editors to retract or correct the paper if deemed necessary by the editors.

#### **5. Conflict of interests policy**

Although no formal declaration of conflict of interests is generally required, authors must accompany their work by an explicit declaration of conflicting interests if, upon submission, they are aware that such is the situation. Editors and peer reviewers handling the materials shall observe the same obligations. (Please also see sections 2 and 3 in this document.)

#### **6. Copyright and licence policy**

The proceedings of ICONN are published in print and online as an open-access source, in agreement with the practices of COPE (<https://publicationethics.org/core-practices>).

No fee is charged for the submission, processing, or publication of the papers. At the same time, the authors are not entitled to any royalty deriving from the marketing of their papers by the publisher (Mega).

Copyright for the content of the material (including abstract, bibliographic references, tables, figures, appendixes, and any other related data) is retained by the authors, as well as by the co-authors and contributors credited.

Upon submitting a manuscript, the corresponding author must have received the permission from all co-authors and contributors to submit the material on their behalf. The corresponding author will call to the attention of all co-authors and contributors of the said material that they must observe the provisions of the present copyright and license policy.

Authors may reproduce the content of the material published solely with the consent of the editors of the proceedings and indicating the complete original reference, including the names of all the authors of the manuscript (be they co-authors or contributors), the title of the proceedings, publisher data, year of publication, page range, and DOI.

By submitting the material for publication, the authors grant the editors and publisher the exclusive right to prepare, publish, disseminate, exhibit, promote, reproduce, license and sublicense printed and electronic copies of the material (including abstract, bibliographic references, tables, figures, appendixes, and any other related data), via the Internet or by any other means.

All materials in the proceedings may be read, quoted, and distributed for non-commercial purposes, regardless of medium and format, as long as the authors and the proceedings are appropriately acknowledged.

#### 7. Data protection policy

In agreement with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR, [https://europa.eu/youreurope/business/dealing-with-customers/data-protection/data-protection-gdpr/index\\_en.htm](https://europa.eu/youreurope/business/dealing-with-customers/data-protection/data-protection-gdpr/index_en.htm)), all data provided by editors, authors, and reviewers (names, e-mail addresses, institutional affiliation) shall be used for scholarly purposes alone. The proceedings shall only publish authors' names and institutional affiliation(s), whereas e-mail addresses will be disclosed only with the authors' consent.

In conclusion, the Publication Ethics and Malpractice Statement of ICONN observes the Core Practices developed by COPE (<https://publicationethics.org/core-practices>) in view of creating a culture of research and publication integrity.